

CRIME & DELINQUENCY IN CALIFORNIA
2000
ADULT
CORRECTIONS



PREFACE
CRIMES
ARRESTS
DISPOSITIONS
EXPENDITURES & PERSONNEL
OTHER DATA BASES
DATA TABLES
APPENDIX

WHAT IS ADULT CORRECTIONS?

Adults convicted in California courts are frequently placed under the jurisdiction of either the state correctional system or a correctional system operated by local government. The state correctional system provides confinement, rehabilitation, and parole services through the California Department of Corrections (CDC) which includes the California Rehabilitation Center (CRC). The state correctional system also includes the California Youth Authority (CYA) and the California Department of Mental Health (CDMH). Local correctional agencies provide confinement, rehabilitation, and probation services for those sentenced to their care and also those persons awaiting trial or sentencing.


HOW ARE ADULTS UNDER SUPERVISION COUNTED?

At the state level, supervision data are obtained annually from the CDC and CYA. At the local level, supervision data are obtained from the Board of Corrections and county probation departments.

WHAT IS A RATE?


A rate describes the number of events that occurred within a given population. The formula for calculating an adult correction rate can be found in the Appendix.

ADULTS UNDER SUPERVISION, 1995-2000
Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk



Source: Table 44.

ADULTS UNDER STATE AND LOCAL SUPERVISION, 2000



Source: Table 44A.

Adults Under State and Local Supervision

Comparing 1995 to 2000:

- There was a 13.0 percent increase in the rate of adults under supervision.
- There was a 16.8 percent increase in the rate of adults under state supervision and a 10.5 percent increase in the rate of adults under local supervision.

From 1999 to 2000:

- There was a 2.0 percent rate decrease in adults under supervision.
- There was a 0.3 percent rate decrease in adults under state supervision and a 3.1 percent decrease in the rate of adults under local supervision.

In 2000, of 691,048 adults under supervision:

- State supervision accounted for 40.9 percent (282,684).
- Local supervision accounted for 59.1 percent (408,364).

Local supervision accounted for approximately 60 percent of all adults under supervision throughout the 1995-2000 period.

ADULT CORRECTIONS

Adults Under State Supervision

Comparing 1995 to 2000:

- There was a 13.8 percent increase in the rate of adults in institutions.
- There was a 21.0 percent increase in the rate of adult parolees/outpatients.

From 1999 to 2000:


- There was a 1.6 percent rate decrease of adults in institutions.
- There was a 1.6 percent rate increase in adult parolees/outpatients.

In 2000, of 282,684 adults under state supervision:

- Those in institutions accounted for 57.0 percent (161,000).
- Parolees/outpatients accounted for 43.0 percent (121,684).

The 2000 rate of adults in institutions (725.3 per 100,000 population at risk) is the third highest since complete data became available in 1960 (see Table 43).

ADULTS UNDER STATE SUPERVISION, 1995-2000
Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk



Source: Table 44.


ADULTS UNDER STATE SUPERVISION, 2000



Source: Table 44A.

Adults Under Local Supervision

ADULTS UNDER LOCAL SUPERVISION, 1995-2000
Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk



Source: Table 44.

Comparing 1995 to 2000:

- There was a 2.3 percent rate increase in the average daily jail populations.
- There was a 12.5 percent increase in the rate of adults on active probation.


From 1999 to 2000:

- There was a 3.2 percent rate decrease in the average daily jail populations.
- There was a 3.1 percent rate decrease in adults on active probation.

In 2000, of 408,364 adults under local supervision:

- The average daily jail populations accounted for 18.4 percent (75,076). Of these,
 - There were 30,311 serving sentences.
 - There were 44,764 awaiting trial or sentencing.
- Those on active probation accounted for 81.6 percent (333,288).

ADULTS UNDER LOCAL SUPERVISION, 2000



Source: Table 44A.

Throughout the 1995-2000 period, approximately eight out of ten adults under local supervision were on active probation.

Adults on Active Probation

Comparing 1995 to 2000:

- The total number of adults on active probation increased 16.1 percent.


From 1999 to 2000:

- The total number of adults on active probation decreased 1.6 percent.

In 2000, of 333,288 adults on active probation:


- Those sentenced for felony-level offenses accounted for 71.6 percent (238,520).
- Those sentenced for misdemeanor-level offenses accounted for 28.4 percent (94,768).

ADULTS ON ACTIVE PROBATION, 2000
By Level of Offense




Source: Table 45.

ADULTS PLACED ON PROBATION, 1995-2000
By Level of Offense
Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk



Source: Table 46.

ADULTS PLACED ON PROBATION, 2000
By Level of Offense



Source: Table 46.

Adults Placed on Probation

Comparing 1995 to 2000:

- There was a 10.2 percent increase in the rate of adults placed on probation.
- There was a 13.6 percent increase in the rate of adults placed for felony-level offenses and a 2.9 percent increase in the rate of adults placed for misdemeanor-level offenses.

From 1999 to 2000:

- There was a 6.3 percent rate decrease in adults placed on probation.
- There was a 7.8 percent rate decrease in adults placed for felony-level offenses and a 2.3 percent rate decrease in adults placed for misdemeanor-level offenses.

In 2000, of the 162,176 adults placed on probation:

- Those placed for felony-level offenses accounted for 70.5 percent (114,336).
- Those placed for misdemeanor-level offenses accounted for 29.5 percent (47,840).

ADULT CORRECTIONS

Adults Removed from Probation

Comparing 1995 to 2000:

- There was a 10.2 percent increase in the rate of adults removed from probation.
- There was a 14.5 percent increase in the rate of terminations, a 0.9 percent increase in revocations, and a 35.0 percent increase in those removed for other reasons.


From 1999 to 2000:

- There was a 6.7 percent decrease in the rate of adults removed from probation.
- There was a 6.8 percent decrease in the rate of terminations, a 2.4 percent decrease in revocations, and a 20.2 percent decrease in those removed for other reasons.

In 2000, of the 151,475 adults removed from probation:


- Those whose probation was terminated (completed their terms of probation successfully) accounted for 46.9 percent (71,031).
- Those whose probation was revoked accounted for 41.9 percent (63,507).
- Those removed for other reasons accounted for 11.2 percent (16,937).

ADULTS REMOVED FROM PROBATION, 1995-2000
By Type of Removal
Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk




Source: Table 46.

ADULTS REMOVED FROM PROBATION, 2000
By Type of Removal




Source: Table 46.

ADULTS COMMITTED TO STATE INSTITUTIONS, 1995-2000
By Type of Institution
Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk



Source: Table 47.

ADULTS COMMITTED TO STATE INSTITUTIONS, 2000
By Type of Institution



Source: Table 47.

Adults Committed to State Institutions

Comparing 1995 to 2000:

- There was a 15.4 percent decrease in the rate of adults committed to state institutions.
- There was a 13.3 percent decrease in the rate of prison commitments.
- There was a 41.6 percent decrease in the rate of commitments to CRC and an 88.4 percent decrease in the rate of commitments to CYA.

From 1999 to 2000:

- There was a 7.4 percent decrease in the rate of adults committed to state institutions.
- There was a 7.6 percent decrease in the rate of prison commitments.
- There was a 3.1 percent increase in the rate of commitments to CRC and a 28.6 percent decrease in the rate of commitments to CYA.

In 2000, of 57,866 adults committed to state institutions:

- New commitments accounted for 72.3 percent (41,821).
- Parolees/outpatients returned with new commitments accounted for 27.7 percent (16,045).

And,

- Commitments to prison accounted for 97.3 percent (56,288).
- Commitments to CRC accounted for 2.5 percent (1,463).
- Commitments to CYA accounted for 0.2 percent (115).