TABLE 46

**ADULTS PLACED ON AND REMOVED FROM PROBATION, 1991-1996**

By Type of Court, Type of Removal, and Rate per 100,000 Population at Risk

| Type of court and placements & removals | 1991 | | 1992 | | 1993 | | 1994 | | 1995 | | 1996 | | Percent change | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | 1991-1996 | 1995-1996 |
| **Placed on probation** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total[1] | 186,492 | 100.0 | 174,564 | 100.0 | 165,791 | 100.0 | 120,055 | 100.0 | 142,560 | 100.0 | 153,048 | 100.0 | -17.9 | 7.4 |
| Superior court | 93,204 | 50.0 | 95,900 | 54.9 | 97,330 | 58.7 | 72,195 | 60.1 | 97,509 | 68.4 | 102,750 | 67.1 | 10.2 | 5.4 |
| Lower court | 93,288 | 50.0 | 78,664 | 45.1 | 68,461 | 41.3 | 47,860 | 39.9 | 45,051 | 31.6 | 50,298 | 32.9 | -46.1 | 11.6 |
| **Rate per 100,000 population at risk[2] - Placed on probation** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 916.1 | | 844.9 | | 792.4 | | 566.5 | | 662.9 | | 701.2 | | -23.5 | 5.8 |
| Superior court | 457.8 | | 464.2 | | 465.2 | | 340.6 | | 453.4 | | 470.8 | | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| Lower court | 458.3 | | 380.7 | | 327.2 | | 225.8 | | 209.5 | | 230.5 | | -49.7 | 10.0 |
| **Removed from probation** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total[1] | 175,814 | 100.0 | 180,887 | 100.0 | 185,677 | 100.0 | 137,595 | 100.0 | 133,229 | 100.0 | 138,803 | 100.0 | -21.1 | 4.2 |
| Superior court | 82,870 | 47.1 | 88,807 | 49.1 | 94,063 | 50.7 | 81,053 | 58.9 | 85,665 | 64.3 | 91,842 | 66.2 | 10.8 | 7.2 |
| Lower court | 92,944 | 52.9 | 92,080 | 50.9 | 91,614 | 49.3 | 56,542 | 41.1 | 47,564 | 35.7 | 46,961 | 33.8 | -49.5 | -1.3 |
| Terminated | 92,691 | 52.7 | 93,025 | 51.4 | 107,086 | 57.7 | 68,132 | 49.5 | 60,103 | 45.1 | 57,835 | 41.7 | -37.6 | -3.8 |
| Superior court | 39,650 | 22.6 | 41,107 | 22.7 | 47,879 | 25.8 | 37,698 | 27.4 | 37,187 | 27.9 | 37,650 | 27.1 | -5.0 | 1.2 |
| Lower court | 53,041 | 30.2 | 51,918 | 28.7 | 59,207 | 31.9 | 30,434 | 22.1 | 22,916 | 17.2 | 20,185 | 14.5 | -61.9 | -11.9 |
| Revoked | 60,765 | 34.6 | 62,084 | 34.3 | 65,852 | 35.5 | 57,276 | 41.6 | 60,983 | 45.8 | 61,462 | 44.3 | 1.1 | .8 |
| Superior court | 35,038 | 19.9 | 37,412 | 20.7 | 41,841 | 22.5 | 39,360 | 28.6 | 43,007 | 32.3 | 42,241 | 30.4 | 20.6 | -1.8 |
| Lower court | 25,727 | 14.6 | 24,672 | 13.6 | 24,011 | 12.9 | 17,916 | 13.0 | 17,976 | 13.5 | 19,221 | 13.8 | -25.3 | 6.9 |
| Other[3,4] | 22,358 | 12.7 | 25,778 | 14.3 | 12,739 | 6.9 | 12,187 | 8.9 | 12,143 | 9.1 | 19,506 | 14.1 | -12.8 | 60.6 |
| Superior court | 8,182 | 4.7 | 10,288 | 5.7 | 4,343 | 2.3 | 3,995 | 2.9 | 5,471 | 4.1 | 11,951 | 8.6 | 46.1 | 118.4 |
| Lower court[5] | 14,176 | 8.1 | 15,490 | 8.6 | 8,396 | 4.5 | 8,192 | 6.0 | 6,672 | 5.0 | 7,555 | 5.4 | -46.7 | 13.2 |
| **Rate per 100,000 population at risk[2] - Removed from probation** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 863.7 | | 875.5 | | 887.4 | | 649.2 | | 619.5 | | 636.0 | | -26.4 | 2.7 |
| Terminated | 455.3 | | 450.2 | | 511.8 | | 321.5 | | 279.5 | | 265.0 | | -41.8 | -5.2 |
| Revoked | 298.5 | | 300.5 | | 314.7 | | 270.3 | | 283.6 | | 281.6 | | -5.7 | -.7 |
| Other | 109.8 | | 124.8 | | 60.9 | | 57.5 | | 56.5 | | 89.4 | | -18.6 | 58.2 |

Notes: Rates and percents may not add to subtotals, total, or 100.0 because of independent rounding.

These data include adults placed on supervised probation only. Data are limited to original grants of probation and do not include subsequent grants of probation to persons already under probation supervision by the same level court in the same county.

[1] The variation in this category from 1993 to 1994 is primarily due to a shift from estimates to actual counts by Los Angeles County.

[2] These rates are based on the adult population at risk (18-69 years of age) for each year (see Table 58).

[3] "Other" includes transfers of jurisdiction from one county to another, deaths, sentences vacated, successful appeals, deportations, etc.

[4] Some of the variation in this category from 1995 to 1996 may be due to a change in reporting standards initiated by the Sacramento County Probation Department.

[5] Programmatic adjustments made by several counties account for most of the increase in this category for 1991 and 1992.