

California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS)
Advisory Committee (CAC)
Meeting Minutes

June 18, 2009
Folsom Police Department

Present: Chair: Gary Cooper (California Department of Justice)
Members: Sam Spiegel (California Peace Officers' Association), Gary Grootveld (Department of General Services), Reggie Chappelle (California Highway Patrol), Larry Spikes (California State Association of Counties), Fran Delach (League of California Cities), Lisa Solomon (California Police Chiefs Association), Steve Westerman (Department of Motor Vehicles), Scott Marshall (California State Sheriffs' Association), George Anderson (California Peace Officers' Association)

Absent: None

CALL TO ORDER – Chair Gary Cooper called the meeting to order at 9:31 a.m.

ROLL CALL – CLETS Executive Secretary Valerie Fercho-Tillery called roll. A quorum was present. Ms. Fercho-Tillery also said this will be the last CAC meeting at which handouts will be distributed. She encouraged CAC members and the audience to download documents for future meetings from the California Law Enforcement Web (CLEW) or the California Attorney General (AG) web site.

APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY 25, 2009 MINUTES – A motion was made to approve the minutes.

Motion: Reggie Chappelle
Second: Sam Spiegel
Vote: Approved unanimously

CHAIR'S REPORT (Gary Cooper) – Chair Gary Cooper, saying his schedule may conflict with future CAC meetings, appointed the California Peace Officers' Association representative Sam Spiegel as the CAC vice chair.

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY'S REPORT (Valerie Fercho-Tillery)

a. **Action items from the February 25, 2009 CAC meeting**

1. Staff to develop a proposal to amend the requirement for out-of-compliant agencies to report in person. With the current budget constraints, it is difficult for agencies that are out-of-compliance to send a representative to CAC meetings. A suggestion was made to have the agency appear in person only after the first or second failure to report in writing. *Result: A proposal has been developed, which includes: Out-of-compliant agencies sending its report to the CAC in writing prior to the CAC meeting; the first time an agency fails to submit a report, the agency head will receive a letter from the Department of Justice (DOJ); after a second failure to submit a report, the CAC can request the agency send a representative to future meetings; requests for an extension must be made*

in person; the CAC can modify these requirements at any time. Sam Spiegel said the proposal was prudent, given the economic times, and suggested that on an individual basis, a mandatory appearance may be required by the chair or the committee, since there could be compliance issues where a letter would not be sufficient. A motion was made to accept the proposal, with Chief Spiegel's suggested changes.

Motion: Sam Spiegel
Second: Fran Delach
Vote: Approved unanimously

2. Staff to add information to the client report summaries indicating the number of extensions an agency has requested. *Result: This information has been added for agencies that have requested multiple extensions.*
3. Staff to send a letter to the San Francisco Police Department, indicating the criticality of bringing its system into compliance. *Result: A letter was sent, and the agency responded that it would be in compliance by September 2009.*
4. Chief Spiegel to call the Los Angeles Police Department regarding the criticality of compliance issues. *Result: Chief Spiegel contacted the agency, which reported later at this meeting (see Client Reports).*
5. Brea Police Department to send a letter to DOJ regarding the status of the agency's two out-of-compliance items. The letter is to include an anticipated compliance date instead of "4th quarter of 2009." *Result: A Brea PD representative was unable to get a specific date from the vendor. The agency reported later at this meeting (see Client Reports).*
6. Staff to send a letter to the San Francisco County Sheriff suggesting he apply more pressure to the county Information Technology (IT) department to have their purchased equipment installed to bring the agency into compliance. *Result: A letter was sent to the San Francisco County Sheriff. Result: The agency is now compliant and therefore does not need to report.*
7. Staff to send a letter to the San Mateo County Sheriff requesting an action plan to bring East Palo Alto PD into compliance with the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) Criminal Justice Information Services Security Policy regarding password authentication. *Result: A letter was sent to San Mateo County Sheriff, who responded that the agency's passwords should be compliant by the end of 2009.*

b. **CLETS System Misuse Statistics**

Possible cases of CLETS misuse worked by the DOJ from January 1, 2009 to March 31, 2009:

Of the 17 cases reported:

- 4 cases are pending
- 13 cases were closed
- 2 cases of misuse were verified

Source of misuse complaints

- 9 Law enforcement
- 4 Federal
- 2 Private citizen
- 1 Criminal justice
- 1 Department of Justice

c. **CLETS Network/Traffic Statistics (January through March 2009)**

- Monthly average 71,345,575
- Daily average 2,582,502
- Peak day 2,775,796
- Peak hour 181,268

Breakdown of CLETS Traffic (January through March 2009)

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Volume</u>
▪ CLETS	100	206,075,318
▪ Criminal Justice Information Systems (CJIS)	49	101,453,348
▪ Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV)	33	68,531,046
▪ National Crime Information Center (NCIC)	13	26,251,031
▪ Other*	5	9,839,893

*Includes the International Justice and Public Safety Network (NLETS), Oregon, etc.

CLETS /CJIS Database Breakdown (January through March 2009)

- Stolen Vehicle System 35 percent
- Wanted Persons System (including the California Restraining and Protective Order System) 20 percent
- Criminal History System 19 percent
- Supervised Release File 14 percent
- Missing Persons System 7 percent
- Others (Firearms, Property, Mental Health, Firearms, etc.) 5 percent

d. **Legislative Report (Julie Basco)**

Of the AG proposals that are of interest to law enforcement or the criminal justice community, three directly mention CLETS, though none impact CLETS functionality:

Assembly Bill (AB) 595 – This bill proposes to remove the sunset date of January 1, 2010, for utilization of CLETS checks for “emergency or relative placements.” This law has been on the books since 2000, with various sunset dates that the Legislature is now trying to eliminate.

Senate Bill (SB) 41 and SB 175 – Both bills have incidental mentions of CLETS as they are attempting to modify the data elements submitted to the Automated Firearms System. Both are anticipated to have neutral impacts.

At the last CAC meeting, previews were given on four AG-sponsored bills important to the DOJ.

1. The DOJ was exploring the possibility of moving the Child Abuse Central Index program and database to the Department of Social Services. No author was found, so that proposal died.
2. The DOJ is proposing to provide statutory specificity to perform misdemeanor field bookings. An author was found for AB 1209, which is moving through the Assembly, passing unanimously, and is awaiting a Senate policy committee hearing.
3. SB 447 relates to the certification of custodian of records. When an applicant request for criminal history is made, the department has regulations that require there be one individual certified as the “custodian of records” to receive that response. Currently, there is a loophole because the response for that individual goes back to that same individual. The bill, which has moved through the Assembly and is in the Senate, would mandate the DOJ implement a certification program. DOJ anticipates the bill will chapter and take effect in 2011.
4. SB 484 would mandate that a prescription be required for any over-the-counter medication containing pseudoephedrine. The goal is to stem the use of those drugs in methamphetamine lab production. The U.S. Attorney’s Office estimates between 50 and 85 percent of pseudoephedrine products bought over the counter end up not used by consumers, but by methamphetamine labs. This AG sponsored bill is now co-sponsored by the California Narcotic Officers Association. Ms. Basco encouraged the CAC to support the bill. A motion was made to that effect. A letter of support will be sent on behalf of the CAC.

Motion: George Anderson
Second: Lisa Solomon
Vote: Approved unanimously

CLETS ADMINISTRATION SECTION UPDATE

- a. **Application Process (Valerie Fercho-Tillery)** – In an attempt to simplify the application and the submission process, the CLETS Administration Section (CAS) plans a two phase streamlining. Phase 1: The CAS is working with the Hawkins Data Center (HDC) to revise the application for simplicity and clarity and to make it more user-friendly. In July 2009, a draft of the proposed application should be put on the CLEW message board for review, so that agencies will have the opportunity to provide comments and suggestions for additional changes. Phase 2: This phase is still in the conceptual stages, but the goal is to have a fillable application available for electronic submission and a process that would allow agencies to track its progress through the DOJ. In addition, drop down menus, definitions and references to CJIS policies could be provided as links to provide clarification on items needed in the application.
- b. **Strategic Plan Update (Maria Cranston)** – In updating the Strategic Plan, it was important to the Standing Strategic Planning Subcommittee to retain historical information from the

previous (original) version. Thus, the historical information was incorporated into the current version of the document that was approved at the previous CAC meeting. With the retention of the historical data, the document became much larger, making it difficult to find the major components of the plan. Thus, a condensed version was created, which removed some of the historical data and background information, making it easier to focus on the strategic issues. In addition, a four-page pamphlet was developed that serves as a quick reference guide depicting the CLETS mission, vision, strategic issues and goals. Furthermore, three business initiatives were introduced: the XML Focus Group (project currently on hold), the Telecommunications Focus Group and the streamlining of the CLETS application process. The business initiatives will be used to update Committee members on projects being undertaken by the DOJ to accomplish the goals identified in the Strategic Plan.

HAWKINS DATA CENTER UPDATE

- a. **CLETS Migration Project (Terry Buckley)** – New CLETS was launched April 14, 2009. Acceptance testing was successfully completed May 29, 2009. Performance is exceptional, as the actual uptime of 99.7 exceeded the contractual uptime of 99.5 percent. From the receipt point of a message from the field to the point of successful delivery, the average time of delivery is 11/100 of a second per message, and peak processing is at approximately 55 messages per second to all external resources (the NCIC, the NLETS, the DMV and the CJIS hot files). Challenges remain in the message formats as modifications have been made in prior years. Also, some coding exceptions have been made. Now that testing has concluded, specifications will be reviewed and clarified individually with the field to address any unique situations.

Features of the new CLETS were discussed, which include its ability to support the following: Extensible Markup Language (XML), binary data designed for images and video clips, biometric data, a variety of media types, etc. Member Steve Westerman asked if future changes to production could initially be coordinated with DMV, and Mr. Buckley said that would be done. Mr. Westerman said DMV had not been made aware production was about to begin and once it did, there were problems with it.

Business Managers Alliance Telecommunications Focus Groups (Amy Peters) – Two facets of the Telecommunications Group began in October 2008, CLETS XML (currently on hold with the implementation of the new CLETS) and the focus on information sharing across the DOJ's Wide Area Network (WAN) among agencies. In the January 2009 meeting, several data types for information sharing across the network were identified. At a March meeting, a relatively simple pilot, a Mobile Identification (ID) project between the California Highway Patrol (CHP) and Stanislaus County Sheriff's Office, was chosen for implementation. The following tasks are in process: the development of a standard memorandum of understanding for information sharing between those agencies and an Interconnection Services and Security Agreement which details everything the DOJ will provide, which is primarily transport. Without intervening in the data, the DOJ will pick it up at the CHP, transport it to Stanislaus County and transport it back to the CHP. The DOJ must meet security requirements and define the services, including who is responsible for what in that transport, and where the roles begin and end between those agencies. The DOJ

is focused on ensuring that it works perpetually, but that should it break down, problems can be rectified quickly.

Participants in the Telecommunications Focus Group include local agencies, county sheriff's offices, city police departments, county IT personnel, the CHP, and the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. The number of participants is growing and may be divided into smaller subcommittees. Discussion topics identified thus far include the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) to AFIS, Mobile ID, the Los Angeles County Regional Identification System, the Automated Regional Justice Information System, Automated Regional Information Exchange System, Records Management Systems (RMS), Local Systems Access for the CHP, local criminal history information, Alameda's Consolidated Records Information Management System (CRIMS) and CopLink, a list that is expected to grow. Given the state's economic woes, new connectivity is more difficult to set up and information sharing has become more critical. Given the complexities, there is no timetable for the project to be running at full strength.

- b. **DOJ Network (Amy Peters)** – The DOJ Network has undergone major changes in the past five years. Referencing a network diagram, the center of the core depicts multiple devices, which send encrypted and dynamically routed traffic from place to place as fast as it can get there. If one connection goes down, it is automatically rerouted to another and traffic is encrypted as soon as it hits a network router device. It stays encrypted until it arrives at its destination. The data is not examined, it is merely transported. The first project implemented was part of Proposition 69 for DNA, which the DOJ realized would result in immense traffic growth because of palm prints. At that point, the DOJ implemented Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS), which resulted in higher service that allowed Virtual Private Network traffic to be separated logically and securely. The resultant high speed traffic routing and switching is much like what occurs on the Internet today, only the DOJ manages the network itself, which allows the Department to make rapid changes as necessary without the cost of a vendor.

The next project implemented, encryption, was mandated by the federal Criminal Justice Information Services Security Policy that requires anything being transported over a public infrastructure to be encrypted (e.g., telephone lines, data lines, etc.) That project was completed in October 2008. The DOJ's network was upgraded and approximately 85 percent of the backbone is running at gigabit speeds, a first in the state. The DOJ went to a T1 line at the local level, the smallest the Department felt it could endure and still meet its CLETS response times while ensuring encryption works over the MPLS and remains encrypted from end to end. The two upgrades cost more than \$10 million, but the DOJ should be set for at least five years. Discussions with vendors have begun for the next growth period in an effort to secure low costs, but maintain top speeds.

Chair Gary Cooper cautioned that while there is considerable talk about Mobile ID, it actually is a subset to the ultimate goal of mobile booking. Currently, there are many cite and releases conducted in the field that are not reported to the DOJ, resulting in dispositions that cannot be matched up to an arrest record. Mobile ID and mobile booking must be linked for ultimate efficiency.

APPLICATIONS CALENDAR

Consent Calendar – There was one new service application: United States Department of Veterans Affairs, Office of the Inspector General, Criminal Investigation Division. This agency will gain access behind the Los Angeles County MSC. A motion was made to accept the consent calendar.

Motion: Gary Grootveld
Second: Lisa Solomon
Vote: Approved unanimously

Upgrade Applications

- a. The DOJ approved an upgrade application for the Lodi Police Department, which entailed replacing its vendor for the Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) MSC.
- b. Since the meeting agenda was posted, an additional application has been approved by the DOJ for the San Diego Community College Police Department, which entailed purchasing new software to access the CLETS through its CAD and mobile devices. The software includes message switching, mobile computer interface and CAD interface. The applicant also plans to upgrade the wireless cellular technology from 1XRTT to EVDO.

CLIENT REPORTS

- a. **Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department** – The client submitted an application in which the technical review revealed the client did not meet the encryption requirements in three areas. One issue has been resolved; however, there are still two outstanding issues: the microwave segments and the wireless access for its mobile computers. The original anticipated compliance date for the county microwave was fiscal year 2005-06. No new anticipated compliance date has been provided for the microwave encryption. The original anticipated compliance date for the mobile data computer system was June 2006. The applicant has requested two extensions with the latest anticipated compliance date as of October 2009. The agency was unable to send a representative to give a report.
- b. **Long Beach Police Department** – The client submitted an application in which the technical review revealed the client does not meet CLETS security requirements for untrusted networks. The original anticipated compliance date was July 2007. The applicant has requested three extensions, with the latest anticipated compliance date of July 1, 2009.

Representative Kris Klein said the department was still in the testing phase and that it would be at least two months before compliancy was reached. Mr. Klein indicated the expected target date for compliance is December 2009. A compliance letter will be sent before the calendar year ends.

- c. **San Francisco Police Department (SFPD)** – The client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the client had multiple untrusted entities connected without the required firewall or encryption of CLETS data. The original anticipated compliance date was June 2007. The applicant has requested four extensions, with the latest

anticipated compliance date as September 2009.

Assistant Chief Jim Lynch said the SFPD has taken the deadlines seriously, that only “a couple” of agencies might not be compliant by September 2009 and that it would be up to the CAC whether the SFPD severs those services. Chief Spiegel explained such responsibility would lie with the SFPD, rather than the CAC. The SFPD and San Francisco Sheriff’s Office share boundaries, but not all responsibilities and there are coexisting department heads who are both elected and appointed. With major challenges such as the statewide financial crunch, Assistant Chief Lynch indicated the department has been running on old systems such as Windows 95, up until two years ago and was impacted with the loss of the chief information officer for six months until a new replacement was hired.

Sergeant Larry Kempinski who works in SFPD’s Technology Division, said originally there were 60 law enforcement agencies in San Francisco County connected to SFPD’s switch. After the old switch was not compliant with the DOJ and FBI security encryption requirements, SFPD installed a new switch, which is compliant. About one-third of the agencies decided not to go through the migration process and backed out of their connection, leaving the SFPD with approximately 42 agencies; all but 17 have been migrated, eight of which are in process. The lack of compliance with the nine remaining agencies is traced to technical problems and lack of manpower. These agencies were pushed aside in an effort to prioritize the 42 agencies that wanted to migrate. The SFPD has offered to help, going so far as to configure, assemble routers and switches, program and install spare equipment, but did not receive sufficient feedback.

The SFPD has a contingency plan and has told the nine remaining agencies the CAC has threatened to turn off SFPD’s connection to CLETS, which would mean disconnecting the remaining agencies’ connection as well. Sergeant Kempinski said he would talk to the CAS about the protocol for programmatically turning off the non-compliant agencies, if necessary, by September 2009, but the SFPD is not willing to jeopardize its entire connection to the CLETS. Assistant Chief Lynch said a letter will be sent to noncompliant agencies and phone calls will be made regarding the severity of their noncompliance jeopardizing the state network. The SFPD will be required to report at the October CAC meeting unless it is fully compliant before then.

- d. **California Highway Patrol (CHP)** – A CLETS inspection revealed the client does not meet the requirements to document all successful/unsuccessful logins. These issues will be resolved as a part of its \$36 million, multiyear CAD procurement project, which has an \$11.2 million budget for the upcoming fiscal year. The original anticipated completion date was the end of 2008. The applicant has requested three extensions, with the latest anticipated compliance date of January 12, 2011.

CHP infrastructure services manager David Wanjiru said the department was in the last steps of finalizing the procurement for the new CAD replacement system. If funding is obtained, the work on the CAD system would start in July 2009. The new system, which involves 25 communications centers, would address any noncompliance issues the department has. CAC member Reggie Chappelle said the funding is in the state budget and bids have been opened,

but until the budget is passed, no work can begin. Mr. Chappelle said he would check whether the CHP funding would be exempt from this type of funding cut. Chair Gary Cooper asked that the CAC receive an update about whether the budget, when signed, included the necessary project funding.

CAC members asked if it would be helpful for the CAC to send a letter emphasizing the network security threat and the potential threat to law enforcement should the funding be cut. A motion was made to send a letter of project support.

Motion: Sam Spiegel
Second: Fran Delach
Vote: Approved unanimously
Abstain: Reggie Chappelle

- e. **Los Angeles Police Department** – In April 2007, the client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the client was not compliant with two issues, one of which still remains: to segment two untrusted 911 networks from LAPD’s trusted network. The original anticipated compliance date was November 2007. The applicant has requested two extensions, with the latest anticipated compliance date of March 2009. A copy of the client report has been included in each member’s folder, which states it is now in compliance.

Tim Riley said the department had been become compliant as of the morning of this meeting. As the LAPD is now compliant with the security requirements, it will be removed from future agendas.

- f. **Brea Police Department** – The client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the client’s network configuration violated the CLETS policy for untrusted and public networks. The original anticipated compliance date was March 2009. The client was granted an extension until the fourth quarter of 2009.

Cliff Flaughner said the purchase of software had been completed and when it arrives, it would take 45 days to install. He also said the department is having contract issues with its CAD vendor that would delay compliance until the first or second week of December. Within a month, the agency will update the CAC on a more precise timeline. The agency also will continue to report at future CAC meetings.

- g. **Orange County Sheriff’s Department** – The client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the client's network configuration violated the CLETS policy for untrusted and public networks. The client’s anticipated compliance date was June 2009; however, an extension until December 31, 2009 is being requested to accommodate the smaller external agencies. No representative attended the meeting.

CAC member Sam Spiegel recommended an extension until September with a follow-up letter that would mandate a representative attend the next CAC meeting. CAC member Scott Marshall will call the Orange County Sheriff to emphasize the necessity of sending a representative.

- h. **Sonoma County Sheriff's Department** – The client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the agency did not meet encryption and segmentation requirements. The client is on schedule for completion in June 2010.

Justin Riedel said the segmentation will be compliant June 25, 2009, and that with the help of a recently hired consultant, the encryption deadline of June 2010 will be met.

- i. **Berkeley Police Department** – The client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the agency did not encrypt CLETS data via the untrusted T1 line. The anticipated compliance date to encrypt the substation link is July 2009. No agency representative attended the meeting.
- j. **Albany Police Department** – The client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the agency did not encrypt CLETS data via the untrusted T1 line to the Alameda County Sheriff's Office LAWNET. The client is now compliant; therefore, it will be removed from future agendas. As such, a representative did not attend the meeting.
- k. **San Mateo County Sheriff's Department** – The East Palo Alto Police Department had previously submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the client did not meet the password authentication requirements. As the county control host for the East Palo Alto Police Department, the San Mateo County Sheriff's Department will be resolving this compliance issue and anticipates completion by the end of the year. No agency representative attended the meeting.
- l. **Modesto Police Department** – The client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the agency did not meet CLETS security requirements in two areas, encryption and password authentication for CJIS users. The anticipated compliance date is December 31, 2009.

Kevin Harless, IT manager for the city of Modesto, said the original go-live date for the RMS system was May 21, 2009, but the vendor failed to deliver on schedule. The new go-live date is expected to be December 31, 2009. The new system is expected to comply with CLETS security requirements. Chair Gary Cooper asked for a status report by September.

- m. **U.S. Department of Agriculture, Sierra National Forest Law Enforcement Unit** – The client submitted an application in which the DOJ technical review revealed the agency did not meet encryption and segmentation requirements. The anticipated compliance date is August 1, 2009.

John Byas said the agency currently has three terminals – one mobile data, which meets all the requirements and is operational, and two desktop terminals, which need to have encryption software installed. That software has been ordered and should be installed within two weeks of its arrival. This should make the agency compliant. The agency, which goes through the Fresno County Sheriff switch, is also in the process of installing T1 lines, and hardware has been ordered to make that installation possible. The target date is August 1, 2009.

- n. **Contra Costa County Sheriff's Department** – Several clients had previously submitted applications in which the DOJ technical review revealed that the WAN links between the agencies and the county MSC did not meet the DOJ encryption requirements for public links. As the county control host, the Contra Costa County Sheriff's Department will be resolving this compliance issue and anticipates completion by December 10, 2009.

Dave Spinelli of the Sheriff's IT department said there is a two-phase approach to becoming compliant. The target date for Phase I was May 18, 2009, but delays in purchasing have delayed that target date to late July or early August. Once the equipment is received, it will take two weeks to install. The December 10, 2009, deadline for Phase II compliance remains. Chair Gary Cooper asked for an update in September.

MEMBERS' REPORTS

Reggie Chappelle – Reggie reiterated his optimism for the CHP to receive funding for its CAD project and said the agency was granted an exemption for its radio project, which is beginning year four of its five-year project. Compliance testing for in-vehicle solution gives radio interoperability at the officer level. The CHP is confident the vendor will pass compliance testing and if it does, the vehicles will begin rolling off the assembly line by the fourth quarter of this year. A consultant has been hired to work with the DOJ on the CHP's electronic citation project, which began in early June. The project is exempt from the governor's executive order because it is grant-funded.

Sam Spiegel – The California Peace Officers' Association, California Police Chiefs Association and California State Sheriffs' Association met with Governor Schwarzenegger in early June on proposed budget cuts and talked about the proposed release of 27,000 inmates from the state prison system and its unintended consequences. The impact of following those former inmates will have an effect on the CLETS.

Gary Grootveld – As of May, the Governor's reorganization plan was passed by the legislature and as a result, the Department of General Services' (DGS) former Telecommunications Division is now part of the state Chief Information Officer's office and called the Public Safety Communications Division. His office is working on a strategic planning effort for all state public safety entities. There are two years of federal grant funding, though the intention is to be done within eight to 10 months. Another project his office is working on is a federal grant for a strategic plan for the state's public safety microwave system, which involves approximately 6,000 to 7,000 path miles. Targets include how to serve the state in the future and converting from analog to digital. It will be offered to cities and counties in the near future as a resource.

Fran Delach – He made the Committee aware of the multiyear Los Angeles Radio Inoperability Program, which has been undertaken by the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Los Angeles Police Department and all the other agencies within Los Angeles County.

Larry Spikes – The California State Association of Counties, League of California Cities and other law enforcement agencies have done a good job in ignoring the idea of suspending Proposition 1A and taking property taxes from local government.

CAC DISCUSSION/OPEN FORUM /PUBLIC COMMENT

Greg Park of the Livermore PD encouraged committee members and the audience to attend the annual Municipal Information Systems Association of California conference, October 4-8, 2009 at the Hyatt Regency in Monterey. DOJ's technical staff will be invited and accommodations may be provided. A formal invitation to the CAC and other IT officials is to follow.

Terry Buckley wanted to make the CAC aware the DOJ will have the technology infrastructure to transport images on the network in the future. When hot files, CJIS databases, newer technology and open systems migrate, some of those databases will have the opportunity to render responses to the field in excess of 100,000 characters, the current policy limit. DOJ recognizes there will be significantly more data in the future and proposes the opening of discussions with external clients about the practical limitations of data response in the future, but also understands the challenges of local agencies consuming more than 100,000 characters. The DOJ will keep the CAC updated on the progress, discussions and challenges faced by agencies.

NEXT CAC MEETING/ADJOURN – The next CAC meeting is scheduled Wednesday, October 14, 2009, at 9:30 a.m. at the Folsom Police Department. The meeting was adjourned at 11:27 a.m.

Action Items
from the
June 18, 2009 CAC meeting

1. CAS to prepare a letter of support for SB 484 on behalf of the CAC.
2. SFPD to submit a written status report by September 2009, if it is not compliant with the security requirements.
3. CAS to send a letter supporting the funding for CHP's CAD project.
4. CAC member Scott Marshal to contact the Orange County Sheriff to have someone attend the next meeting to give a report.
5. CAS to send a followup letter to the Orange County Sheriff's Department requesting a representative attend the next CAC meeting.